# DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING SUPPLY VOLTAGES FOR A PROCESSOR the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

acknowledge the duty to disclose all in Ederal Regulations, Section 1.56, atta	information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of wheel hereto as Appendix B.		
Libereby appoint the persons listed on a decument) as my respective patent attorage application and to transact all business	Appendix A attached hereto (which is incorporated by reference and a part of this rneys and patent agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.  David J. Kaplan  INTEL CORPORATION SC4-202.  2200 Mission College Blvd.		
Send correspondence to:	Send correspondence to: David J. Kaplan		
	INTEL CORPORATION SC4-202.		
nd -	Santa Clara, CA 95052		
30 5. 7 7	(408) 765-1823.		
Thereby declare that all statements ma belief are believed to be true; and furth	de herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and her that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and to or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and eopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.		
Name of first/sole inventor: Kevin	K. Zhang		
Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 1807 N.W. Pe Portland, OR			
Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 1807 N.W. Pe	ontland St. 197229		
Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 1807 N.W. Pe Portland, OR	guyen  Date 12/10/01  Citizenship: VSA		
Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 1807 N.W. Per Portland, OR  Name of second inventor: Don J. Noregon Inventor's Signature Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 3472 N.W. B	guyen  Date 12/10/0/ Citizenship: VSA  ackcomb Dr. 97229		
Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 1807 N.W. Pe Portland, OR  Name of second inventor: Don J. N  Inventor's Signature Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 3472 N.W. B Portland, OR  Name of third inventor: Daniel J.	guyen  Date 12/10/0/ Citizenship: VSA  Lenehan		
Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 1807 N.W. Per Portland, OR  Name of second inventor: Don J. N. Inventor's Signature Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 3472 N.W. B. Portland, OR	pate 12 / 10 / 0 / Citizenship: VSA / Date		

#### APPENDIX B

#### Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by \$\$1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

đ

Ŀ.

prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable impder the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an aftermpt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

# DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING SUPPLY VOLTAGES FOR A PROCESSOR

the specification of which is attached hereto.	
I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the ab not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patente before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United S legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility parapplication) prior to this application.	any country before my invention thereof or more than on sale in the United States of America more than one and or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued tates of America on an application filed by me or my
I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be m Federal Regulations, Section 1.56, attached hereto as Appendix B.  Increby appoint the persons listed on Appendix A attached hereto (white	ch is incorporated by reference and a part of this
document) as my respective patent attorneys and patent agents, with full	power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this
application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Off	ice connected herewith.
Send correspondence to: David J. Kaplan INTEL CORPORATION	N SC4-202
2200 Mission College Blv	
g (408) 765-1823.	
Ehereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge	are true and that all statements made on information and
ballief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were ma	de with the knowledge that willful false statements and
the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the app	lication or any patent issued thereon.
that such within laise statements may jeoparate the tanenty of the app	
Name of first/sole inventor: Kevin X. Zhang	
Inventor's Signature Kein V. Zhing	Date 11/26/200) Citizenship: USA
Residence: Portland, Oregon	Citizenship: USA
Post Office Address: 1807 N.W. Pentland St.	
Portland, OR 97229	
Name of second inventor: Don J. Nguyen	
There of become in the second of the second	
Inventor's Signature	Citizenship: USA
Residence: Portland, Oregon Post Office Address: 3472 N.W. Blackcomb Dr.	Citizenship. OoA
Portland, OR 97229	
Name of third inventor: Daniel J. Lenehan	
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence: Los Altos Hills, California	Citizenship: USA
Post Office Address: 24183 Dawnridge Dr.	

Los Altos Hills, CA 94024

#### APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby N. Ho, Reg. No. 46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Levendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Robert G. Litts, Reg. No. 46,876; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Kenneth B. Paley, Reg. No. 38,989; Gregg A. Peacock, Reg. No. 45,001; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. 45,750; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey Sam Smith, Reg. No. 39,377; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Tom Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. 46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. 46,154; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Firasat Ali, Reg. No. 45,715; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; and Raul Martinez, Reg. No. 46,904, my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN EEP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3500, and Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Edward R. Brake, Reg. No. 37,784; ; Ben Burge, Reg. No. 42,372; Robert A. Burtzlaff, Reg. No. 35,466; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Cynthia Tiomas Faatz, Reg No. 39,973; Jeffrey B. Huter, Reg. No. 41,086; John Kacvinsky, Reg. No. 40,040; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; David J. Kaplan, Reg. No. 41,105; Peter Lam, Reg. No. 44,855; Anthony Martinez, Reg No. 44,223; Paul Nagy, Reg. No. 37,896; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Leo V. Novakoski, Reg. No. 37,198; Lanny Parker, Reg. No. 44,281; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Kenneth M. Seddon, Reg. No. 43,105; Mark Seeley, Reg. No. 32,299; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; Robert G. Winkle, Reg. No. 37,474; Sharon Wong, Reg. No. 37,760; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Calvin E. Wells; Reg. No. 43,256 and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435; my patent attorneys, of INTEL CORPORATION; and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my traitent attorney with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

#### APPENDIX B

#### Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already officered or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in.
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

=

N

Apprima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

### DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING SUPPLY VOLTAGES FOR A PROCESSOR

the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56, attached hereto as Appendix B.

I hereby appoint the persons listed on Appendix A attached hereto (which is incorporated by reference and a part of this decument) as my respective patent attorneys and patent agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send correspondence to:

David J. Kaplan

**INTEL CORPORATION SC4-202** 

and haliaf are helieved	to be true: and further that these statement	ge Blvd. 5052  ledge are true and that all statements made on information is were made with the knowledge that willful false statements
and the like so made at	e nunishable by fine or imprisonment, or b	both, under Section 1001 of 1itle 18 of the United States Code
and that such willful ta	Ise statements may jeopardize the validity	of the application or any patent issued thereon.
Name of first/sole inv Inventor's Signature Residence: Portland, C Post Office Address:	Oregon 1807 N.W. Pentland St. Portland, OR 97229	Date Citizenship: P.R.C.
Name of second inver	ntor: Don J. Nguyen	
Inventor's Signature Residence: Portland, Post Office Address:		DateCitizenship: USA
Name of third invent Inventor's Signature Residence: Los Altos Post Office Address:	Hiffis, California 24183 Dawnridge Dr. Los Altos Hills, CA 94024	Date /2//4/0/ Citizenship: USA

#### APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,61; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 34,744; Michael A. Bernadicon, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,145; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,150; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby N. Ho, Reg. No. 46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Leyendecker, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Leyendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Leyendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Robert G. Litts, Reg. No. 46,876; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,519; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,379; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 39,999; Grega A. Peacock, Reg. No. 40,043; Chun P. Voor Constant Reg. No. 44,131; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Re

m

#### APPENDIX B

# Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and (1)
- The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent (2) application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (2)
    - Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (i)
- Asserting an argument of patentability. (ii)

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- T Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this (c) section are:
- Each inventor named in the application; (1)

鼬

- Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and (2)
- Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. (3)
- Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.